GENERAL INFORMATION

Course name	Neurorehabilitation and Restorative Neurology				
Course director	Prof. Silva Butković Soldo, MD, PhD				
Assistants	Prof. Davor Jančuljak, MD, PhD				
	Nenad Koruga, MD, PhD				
	Anamarija Soldo Koruga, MD				
Study program	Integrated undergraduate and gradua	ate university study			
	program Medical Studies in German				
Course status	Elective				
Year of study, semester	6 th year, 11 th semester				
Credits allocated and form of instruction	ECTS student workload	1			
	Number of teaching hours (L+S+E)	15 (5+5+5)			
COURSE DESCRIPTION					

Course objectives

Neurorehabilitation is a process aimed at training people with a neurologic deficit, for the purpose of achieving and maintaining the best physical, sensory, intellectual, psychological and social level and achieving the highest possible level of independence. Neurorehabilitation and restorative neurology elective course will provide medical students with a unique multidisciplinary insight into basic neurological conditions, key principles, dynamics of implementation and the latest algorithms of early and continuous neurorehabilitation processes. Students will gain insight into the organization and work of a multidisciplinary team, as well as the processes of clinical evaluation of neurorehabilitation processes and the assessment scale used in the mentioned process.

Course requirements

There are no specific requirements for this course except those defined in the study program curriculum.

Expected learning outcomes (5-10 learning outcomes)

Knowledge

1. Knowledge of basic pathophysiological mechanisms and clinical characteristics of certain groups of neurological diseases

2. Knowledge of the key principles, dynamics of implementation and methods applicable in neurorehabilitation processes

3. Knowledge of the methods of creating an individualized neurorehabilitation plan, as well as the establishment of a multidisciplinary team based on it

4. Knowledge of evaluation methods and assessment scales for assessing and monitoring the course of neurorehabilitation

5. Mastering the basic communication skills necessary for working in a team, working with patients and their families

6. Neurorehabilitation in the community – understanding and the possibility of creating a plan along with the evaluation of social aspects

Skills

1. Communication skills in working with a multidisciplinary team, as well as the patient and the family

2.	Conduction and implementation of basic assessment scales on the basis of good			
clinical practice for assessment of the initial status and course of neurorehabilitation				

Course cor	Course content							
Knowledge	of t	he basic g	roup	os of	neurological diseases	(pathop	hysiology, diagnostic ci	riteria,
clinical pictu	ıre,	diagnostic	s, n	euro	logical status), knowle	dge of a	ssessment scales depe	ending
on the clinic	al p	problem/di	seas	se (a	pplication and selectio	n of cert	ain scales for evaluatio	n and
their condu	ctio	n), knowle	edge	of f	unction and role of ce	ertain me	embers of a multidiscip	olinary
team, maste	erin	g basic co	mmı	unica	tion skills for working	in a tean	n and with a patient, me	ethods
of neuroreh	abil	itation (ke	y pri	ncipl	es, dynamics of impler	mentatio	n and algorithms), robo	tics in
neurorehab	ilita	tion						
				ectur	res	lindividu	al assignments	
			\boxtimes	semir	ars and Minutimedia and Internet			
Form of ins	stru	ction	WO	rksho				
			<u>⊠</u> €	exerc			ng activities	
dist		distar	ance learning					
			f	ield o	course			
Student ob	liga	ations						
Come to cla	ass	prepared	by s	study	ing the recommended	d literatu	re for each unit and a	ctively
participate i	n al	I forms of	instr	uctio	n. The student must pa	articipate	e in at least 70% of clas	ses to
pass the co	urse	e. Missed e	exer	cises	and seminars must be	e compe	nsated by sitting for an	exam.
Monitoring	stι	ident lear	ning	3		1		
Attendanc		Active						
P	Х	participat	io	X	Seminar paper		Experimental work	
Ŭ		n						
Written		Oral exa	m		Fssav		Research	
exam					Loody		Researen	
		Continuo	us					
Project		assessm	en		Paper		Practical work	Х
		t						
Portfolio								
Assessmer	nt a	nd evalua	itior	۱ of s	tudents during class	s and on	the final exam	
Students' p	erfc	rmance w	/ill b	e ev	aluated during class a	and on t	he final exam. Studen	ts are
evaluated n	um	erically an	d de	scrip	tively (insufficient (1),	sufficien	t (2), good (3), very goo	od (4),
excellent (5))). C	During clas	ses,	, a sti	udent can earn a maxii	mum of 1	00 points. Students car	n earn
a maximum	of 2	20 points o	lurin	ig cla	isses through different	types of	activities. On the final	exam,
students can earn a maximum of 80 points. The final grade represents the sum of the points								
earned during classes and on the final exam.								
Mandatory reading								
1. Sitzer M, Steinmetz H. Lehrbuch Neurologie. Elsevier, Urban&FischerVerlag 2011								
Additional	rea	ding						
1. van der Brugge. Neurorehabilitation bei Erkrankungen des zentralen Nervensystems								
(Lehrbuch in einem band; 2017)								
2. Hacke. Neurologie (Springer-Lehrbuch) (German Edition) (German) 14., überarb. Aufl. 2016								
Edition								
The number of copies of mandatory reading in proportion to the number of students								
currently taking this course								
Title					Number of copies		Number of students	
					A purchased license	e for onli	ine textbooks shall be	used
Sitzer M, Steinmetz H. Lehrbuch			https://bfdproxy48.bfd-					
Neurologie.					online.de/login.htm?back=http%3a%2f%2fpartner.bfd-			
Elsevier, Urban& Fischer Verlag; online.info.bfdproxy48.bfd-								
2011 online.de%2fameos%2fbfdAboGateway%3fabold%3d264					3d264			
<u>117</u>								

Access will be granted to all students enrolled in the study
program

Quality monitoring methods ensuring the acquisition of knowledge upon completion, skills and competences

The quality of course performance is monitored through an anonymous student survey on the quality of the organization and conduction of classes, the course content and the work of professors. The usefulness of the lectures from the students' perspective, the curriculum content, the professor preparedness, the clarity of the presentation, the amount of new content and the quality of the presentation are evaluated. The curriculum and its execution are administratively compared. The participation of students in lectures and exercises, as well as the excuses for missing classes, are controlled and analyzed.